

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/527,765	04/06/2005	Martin Zapf	F-8567 5172		
28107 JORDAN ANI	7590 09/25/2007 D HAMBURG LLP	EXAMINER			
122 EAST 42N SUITE 4000	ID STREET		BARRERA, RAMON M		
NEW YORK, NY 10168			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
-			2832		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	09/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/527,765		ZAPF ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Ramon M. Barrer	a	2832				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for	• •	/ IO OFT TO EVE	NDE A MONTHY	2) OD TUUDTY (0)	0) DAVC			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ju</u>	il <u>y 2007</u> .						
2a)□ T	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 7-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-17 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Papers								
	ne specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
	ne drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2005 is/are: a		b) objected to	by the Examiner				
Α	pplicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice of 3) Information	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 3/11/05.	5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/527,765 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group I in the reply filed on 7/9/07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground that claims 18-20 are all dependent claims and therefore unity of invention is present between the generic claims 7-17 and 21-23 on the one hand and the dependent claims 18-20 on the other. MPEP § 1850 (II) makes clear that the dependency of a claim is sufficient to establish unity of invention. This is not found persuasive because unity of invention mandates dependency from allowable parent claims.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 18-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 7-10, 3-17, and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zapf(US6852937).

Application/Control Number: 10/527,765

Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131. Zapf discloses an inductive position switch apparatus comprising: a gearshift lever or locking mechanism 22; an actuator slide 24; a sensor unit 13; and an evaluation circuit 16, wherein said sensor unit comprises at least two sensor coils 2 adjacent to one another on a printed circuit board (fig. 1) and at least one conductive actuator element 3 applied to said actuator slide, the coverage of the conductive actuator element of every two of said sensor coils is changeable for changing the inductance of the sensor coils by displacing said actuator slide (inductance is reduced because the actuator reduces the total flux linking the sensor coil), and the inductance changes of said adjacently applied sensor coils initiate switching functions in said evaluation circuit; further comprising a multiplexer (fig. 5), the signal evaluation of said sensor coils occurring via said multiplexer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Zapf in view of Machul.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Zapf does not disclose an LC oscillating circuit comprises inductive sensor coils adapted for evaluating the resonance frequency of the LC oscillating circuit into which the variable inductance enters. Machul shows that an LC oscillating circuit comprising inherent inductive sensor coils is an equivalent structure known in the art. Therefore, because these two sensors were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention

was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to include an LC oscillating circuit in the sensor in Zapf.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramon M. Barrera whose telephone number is (571) 272-1987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 11 to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Kamon M Banera Ramon M Barrera Primary Examiner Art Unit 2832